(d) if so, the details thereof and the action taken or proposed to be taken by Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SHRIPRAKASH JAISWAL): (a) No, Sir.

(b) During 2008, majority of naxal incidents were reported from some areas of 9 States of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal. Stray naxal incidents have also been reported from Kerala, Karnataka, Haryana and Tamil Nadu. Over 80 percent of naxal incidents and casualties were reported from States of Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand and Orissa alone.

There are no inputs to suggest any current tactical linkages of naxals with ULFA and LTTE.

(c) and (d) Does not arise in view of (a) above.

Government deals with naxal problem in a holistic manner. States take appropriate action and Central Government supplements their efforts towards capacity building and resources through a wide range of ongoing schemes on security and development.

Changes made in Warrant of Precedence in 2007

1806. SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether any changes were made during 2007 in the "Warrant of Precedence";

(b) if so, the reasons for omission of India's two living Five Star Generals—Field Marshal SHFJ Manekshaw who died in June, 2008 and Marshal of the Air Force, Arjun Singh; and

(c) whether Government is proposing to revise the List of Precedence as persons of proven extraordinary Military status are conferred these ranks and they never retire during their life-time?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (DR. SHAKEEL AHMAD): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) Field Marshal SHFJ Manekshaw and Air Marshal Arjun Singh have been assigned position in Article 12 of the Table of Precedence, commonly referred to as Warrant of Precedence, as a measure personal to them, without making any formal amendment to the Table.

Supreme Court's stay on fines for traffic violation

1807. SHRIMATI JAYA BACHCHAN: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Supreme Court has imposed an interim stay on the increase in the fines for violation of traffic rules, earlier ordered by Delhi High Court;